## Application No. Applicant(s) 09/863,181 COX, WILLIAM A. Interview Summary Examin r Art Unit Kenneth E Peterson 3724 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Kenneth E Peterson. (2) William Hanlon. (4) Date of Interview: 03 April 2003. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative) Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: photos of tool. Claim(s) discussed: 1. Identification of prior art discussed: Fawell. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed possible amendments to the claims. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. KENNETH E. PETERSON PRIMARY EXAMINER Examiner Not: You must sign this form unl ss it is an Attachment to a signed Office action. Examiner's signature, if required